Case 3:10-cv-00578-N Document 1 Filed 03/23/10 Page 1 of 10 PageID 1 ORIGINAÎ

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT MORTHERN DIST. OF TX

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

2010 MAR 23 PM 2: 34

JANE DOE 1, by and through JANE DOE) 1's MOTHER AND FATHER as Parents) and natural guardians, and JANE DOE 1's) MOTHER AND FATHER, Individually, and JANE DOE 2, by and through JANE **DOE 2's MOTHER AND FATHER as** Parents and natural guardians, and JANE) DOE 2's MOTHER AND FATHER, Individually and JANE DOE 3, by and through JANE DOE 3's MOTHER AND **FATHER** as Parents and natural guardians, and JANE DOE 3's MOTHER) AND FATHER, Individually. Plaintiff,

v. TERRELL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT and KELLY RODGERS, SUPERINTENDENT,

Defendants.

NO.3-10CV0578-N

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

PLAINTIFFS, Jane DOE 1, by and through Jane Doe 1's Mother and Father as parents and natural guardians, and Jane Doe 1's Mother and Jane Doe 1's Father, individually, and Jane DOE 2, by and through Jane Doe 2's Mother and Father as parents and natural guardians, and Jane Doe 2's Mother and Jane Doe 2's Father, individually and Jane DOE 3, by and through Jane Doe 3's Mother and Father as parents and natural guardians, and Jane Doe 3's Mother and Jane Doe 3's Father, individually, hereby sues DEFENDANTS, TERRELL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT and KELLY RODGERS, SUPERINTENDENT, and states the following:

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND PARTIES

- 1. JANE DOE 1, is a resident of Kaufman County, Texas and is not *sui juris* by virtue of her minority. JANE DOE 1's MOTHER and JANE DOE 1's FATHER, individually, and as natural guardians and parents of JANE DOE 1, are residents of Kaufman County, Texas and are over the age of 18 and is otherwise *sui juris*. This action is brought anonymously to protect the identities of JANE DOE 1 and her mother and father as this matter concerns the rape and sexual assault of a minor.
- 2. JANE DOE 2, is a resident of Kaufman County, Texas and is not *sui juris* by virtue of her minority. JANE DOE 2's MOTHER and JANE DOE 2's FATHER, individually, and as natural guardians and parents of JANE DOE 2, are residents of Kaufman County, Texas and are over the age of 18 and is otherwise *sui juris*. This action is brought anonymously to protect the identities of JANE DOE 2 and her mother and father as this matter concerns the rape and sexual assault of a minor.
- 3. JANE DOE 3, is a resident of Kaufman County, Texas and is not *sui juris* by virtue of her minority. JANE DOE 3's MOTHER and JANE DOE 3's FATHER, individually, and as natural guardians and parents of JANE DOE 3, are residents of Kaufman County, Texas and are over the age of 18 and is otherwise *sui juris*. This action is brought anonymously to protect the identities of JANE DOE 3 and her mother and father as this matter concerns the rape and sexual assault of a minor.
- 4. Defendant, Terrell Independent School District of Kaufman County, Texas (the "District"), is a political subdivision or agency of Kaufman County, Texas. The District operates and maintains J.W. Long Elementary School in Kaufman County, Texas ("Long"). JANE DOE 1, 2, and 3 were students at J.W. Long Elementary School at all relevant times.
- 5. Defendant Dr. Kelly Rodgers is now a resident of the State of Texas and is sui juris. At all relevant times, he was the superintendent of the Terrell Independent School District.

- 6. The court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1367, 42 U.S.C. §1983 and 20 U.S.C. §1681(a).
- 7. The Court has venue of this action under 28 U.S.C. §1391 as the Defendants reside in this District and the events and omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. On separate occasions in the 2007-08 school year, an employee/teacher of the District, Mr. Salvador Mata, raped and sexually assaulted Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 3 at J.W. Long Elementary School in Terrell Texas.
- 9. Dr. Kelly Rodgers, in his capacity as Superintendent of Terrell Independent School District, either knew or should have known that Salvador Mata had access to children in hidden locations in the school during times when the students should have been in other classes or recess. Nevertheless, Dr. Rodgers and Terrell Independent School District failed to supervise Salvador Mata or more importantly, the students that were in his care. In the alternative, Dr. Rodgers and Terrell Independent School District turned a blind eye to potential problems and or risks to its students.
- 10. Upon information and belief, this is not the first time that Salvador Mata had sexually molested students at this school and that Terrell Independent School was or should have been aware of the previous allegations and or complaints.
- 11. Despite the aforementioned facts the Defendants took no action against Salvador Mata until this matter was made public by Plaintiffs' parents and before then Salvador Mata continued to teach at J.W. Long Elementary School and given unfettered access to young girls at the school. At the same time, the Defendants did nothing to warn female students and their families of the known dangerous sexual propensities of Mata.

- 12. At all relevant times, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 were students at J.W. Long Elementary School.
- 13. JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 were assigned to the class taught by Salvador Mata. On or about March 2, 2007 though 2008, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 were repeatedly sexually assaulted and raped in a classroom at J.W. Long Elementary School by Salvador Mata.
- 14. As a result of this rape and sexual assault, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 have suffered and will continue to suffer severe psychological and emotional injuries.
- 15. Plaintiffs have retained the undersigned counsel and have agreed to pay reasonable attorneys' fees.

COUNT I

(Violation of 42 U.S.C. 1983 - Policy, Practice or Custom Causing Constitutional Harm - Against Defendant School District)

- 16. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.
- 17. JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 had a constitutional right not to be sexually abused while in the care of Defendant School District. Specifically, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 had a constitutional due process liberty interest to bodily integrity.
- 18. The School District had an affirmative constitutional duty to protect JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's right to bodily integrity, including protection from sexual abuse by one its employees or agents.

- 19. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times Defendant School District had a longstanding policy, practice or custom of lax policies regarding teacher access to students behind closed doors. Defendant School District further had a custom or policy of lax investigation and non-response to allegations that Mata was sexually aggressive and inappropriate with female students.
- 20. The School District acted with deliberate indifference in the gross failure to adequately investigate Mata and/or create policies that would have prevented Mata from being alone with students unsupervised which allowed the sexual assaults to occur.
- 21. The School District's policy, practice or custom is also reflected in (i) failing to remove Mata from authority and control of students; and (ii) failing to impose any restrictions whatsoever on Mata's access to children.
- 22. As a result of the School District's policy, practice or custom, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 were sexually assaulted by Mata, which has caused them to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment for compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

(Violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 - Deficient Training Practices Causing Constitutional Harm - Against Defendant School District)

- 23. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.
- 24. The School District failed to adequately supervise its employees. Among other things, Mata was allowed to be in a situation where he was alone in a classroom with JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3. Additionally, the School District's

training practices regarding investigation and response to complaints of sexual assault were wholly inadequate. The School District's supervision and training practices were not calculated or designed to protect children from sexual abuse.

- 25. Failure to adequately train and supervise with regard to the risks of sexual assault upon students was an official policy of the School district.
- 26. The School District was deliberately indifferent to the constitutional rights of children to bodily integrity in adopting its supervision and training practices.
- 27. The School District's failure to train or supervise reflects a deliberate or conscious choice.
- 28. The foregoing deficiency in the School District's training and supervision practices caused JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 to be sexually assaulted by Mata and suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment for compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III

(Violation of Title IX, Education

Amendments of 1972 - 20 U.S.C. §1681 et seq. - Against Defendant School Board)

- 29. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.
- 30. At all relevant times, the education program or activity at J.W. Long Elementary School received federal financial assistance.

- 31. JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 had a right to not be subject to sexual discrimination, harassment or abuse while they participated in that education program or activity.
- 32. Upon information and belief, the School District and Dr. Kelly Rodgers had actual notice of Mata's violation of rights as a result of a prior allegation or complaints.
- 33. Upon information and belief, the School Board and Dr. Kelly Rodgers each had authority to address the acts of abuse by Mata and institute corrective measures.
- 34. As a result of this inaction, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 were sexually assaulted by Mata.
- 35. JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 have suffered damages as a result of Defendant School District's actual notice of Mata's sexual deviancy and the risk he posed to young girls, and its inaction in response.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand compensatory damages, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT IV

(Violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 - Against Defendant Dr. Kelly Rodgers)

- 36. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.
- 37. At all relevant times, Dr. Kelly Rodgers was acting under color of state law in his supervisory capacity over Mr. Mata.

- 38. JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 each had a constitutional due process liberty interest to bodily integrity. This interest included the right to be free from sexual assault by a state actor in public school.
- 39. JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's constitutional right to be free from sexual assault by a teacher in a public school classroom is a clearly established right.
- 40. Defendant Rodgers acted with reckless and deliberate indifference to the risks of sexual abuse to the female students at J.W. Long Elementary School.
- 41. No reasonable similarly situated official would have exposed children to the risks of a sexual attack by Mata, as Dr, Rodgers did in this case.
- 42. As a result of Dr. Rodgers' acts and omissions, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 were raped and sexually assaulted, which has caused each of them to suffer damages.
- 43. By his acts and omissions, Dr. Rodgers violated JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's constitutional right to bodily integrity in public school.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment for compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT V

((Loss of Consortium - Against Both Defendants)

44. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 43 above.

45. As a result of Defendants' violation of JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's common law and constitutional rights, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's MOTHERS and JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's FATHERS have suffered and will suffer damages, including loss of comfort, companionship and society, and pecuniary losses, consisting of, without limitation, care and treatment of JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3 and loss of earnings arising therefrom.

46. The Defendants' acts and omissions were the direct and proximate cause of JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's suffering a permanent and total disability.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3's MOTHERS and JANE DOE 1, JANE DOE 2 and JANE DOE 3'S FATHERS, individually, demand compensatory damages for loss of consortium, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiffs hereby state that at this time they seek compensatory damages in an amount not to exceed \$10,000,000 (ten million dollars) and reserves the right to amend this amount.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury and all issues so triable as a matter of right.

Dated: March 23, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Ray Jackson

Ray Jackson

Attorney for Plaintiffs

SBN 00797754

3838 Oak lawn Ave., Ste1350

Dallas, TX 75219

214.651.6250 Office

214.651.6244 Facsimile

*JS 44 (TXN PROBLEM SEVENTED Page 10 of 10 PageID 10

The JS 44 cit core sleet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the United States in September 1974, is required by law, except as provided by law, ex

Jane Doe 1, et al		1	lent School District, et al.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kaufman (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		<u> </u>	of First Listed Defendant	Kaufman
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nan Ray Jackson, The Jackso Dallas, Texas 75219	ne. Address, and Telephone Number) on Law Firm, 3838 Oak Lawn Ave., Suite 1	LAND		2 3 2010
11. BASIS OF JURIS. 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PRINCIPAL NORTHERN PTF DEF 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place 4 4 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In The	Principal Place
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Citizen or Subject of a E Foreign Country	J 3	06 06
CONTRACT	TORTS	EODERITHDE/DENALTY	D. MICHIGAN	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 365 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 365 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 365 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Med. Malpractic Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic Med. Malpractic Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury - Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Personal Property Damage - See Personal Injury - Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Personal Property Damage - See Personal Injury - Product Liability - PERSONAL INJURY - Med. Malpractic Med.	620 Other Food & Drug	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 IIIA (13951) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
🕅 1 Original 🔲 2 Re	ne Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	erred from Gradistrict Gradistrict Gradistrict Gradistrict Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona	l statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	trade teacher while attending DEMANDS 0,000,000.00	g school in Terrell Indepe CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	endent School District f demanded in complaint: Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASE PENDING OR CLOS			- DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 03/23/2010	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD	Nomer)
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- (/)		

APPLYING HEP -

JUDGE-

MAG. JUDGE -

---- AMOUNT